arXiv:1512.05555v2 [gr-qc] 10 Jul 2016

PPN parameters in gravitational theory with nonminimally derivative coupling

Zhu Yi* and Yungui Gong†

School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, P.R. China

The nonminimal coupling of the kinetic term to Einstein's tensor helps the implementation of inflationary models due to the gravitationally enhanced friction. We calculate the parametrized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters for the scalar–tensor theory of gravity with nonminimally derivative coupling. We find that under experimental constraint from the orbits of millisecond pulsars in our galaxy, the theory deviates from Einstein's general relativity in the order of 10^{-20}, and the effect of the nonminimal coupling is negligible if we take the scalar field as dynamical dark energy. With the assumed conditions that the background scalar field is spatially homogeneous and evolves only on cosmological timescales and the contribution to stress–energy in the solar system from the background scalar field is subdominant, the scalar field is required to be massless.

Keywords: General scalar–tensor gravity; PPN parameters.

PACS numbers: 04.25.Nx, 04.50.Kd, 95.36.+x

1. Introduction

The simplest generalization of Einstein's general relativity is the scalar–tensor theory of gravity such as Brans–Dicke theory.[1] In Brans–Dicke theory, in addition to the massless spin two graviton, a massless scalar field is also responsible for the exchange of gravitational interaction. The scalar field called dilaton appears in the low energy effective bosonic string theory, and the scalar degree of freedom arises naturally upon compactification of higher dimensions. The most general scalar–tensor theory of gravity which gives at most the second–order equation of motion in four–dimensional spacetime is Horndeski theory[2] with the following Lagrangian,

$$L_H = L_2 + L_3 + L_4 + L_5, \tag{1}$$

where

$$L_2 = K(\phi, X), \quad L_3 = -G_3(\phi, X)\Box\phi, \tag{2}$$

$$L_4 = G_4(\phi, X)R + G_{4,X}\left[(\Box\phi)^2 - (\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi)\right], \tag{3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_5 =& G_5(\phi, X)G_{\mu\nu}\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi - \frac{1}{6}G_{5,X}\left[(\Box\phi)^3\right. \\ & \left. -3(\Box\phi)(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)(\nabla^\mu\nabla^\nu\phi) + 2(\nabla^\mu\nabla_\alpha\phi)(\nabla^\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi)(\nabla^\beta\nabla_\mu\phi)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

*Email: yizhuhust@sina.cn
†Email: yggong@mail.hust.edu.cn

$X = -\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla^\mu\phi/2$, $\Box\phi = \nabla_\alpha\nabla^\alpha\phi$, the functions K, G_3, G_4 and G_5 are arbitrary functions of ϕ and X, and $G_{4,X}(\phi, X) = dG_4(\phi, X)/dX$. The theory not only includes the nonminimal coupling $f(\phi)R$, but also includes the nonminimal coupling between the kinetic term X and R. For the special nonminimal coupling $-\phi^2R/6$,[3] the theory is conformal invariant. In general, there is no conformal invariance.

However, if we only consider the nonminimal interactions which are quadratic in ϕ and linear in R, then we only need to consider the nonminimally derivative terms $(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla^\mu\phi)R$, $(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi)R^{\mu\nu}$, $\phi\Box\phi R$, $\phi(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)R^{\mu\nu}$, $\phi\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla^\mu R$ and $\phi^2\Box R$.[4] Because of the divergencies of $\nabla_\mu(R\phi\nabla^\mu\phi)$, $\nabla_\mu(R^{\mu\nu}\phi\nabla_\nu\phi)$ and $\nabla_\mu(\phi^2\nabla^\mu R)$, the independent interactions are $(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla^\mu\phi)R$, $(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi)R^{\mu\nu}$ and $\phi\Box\phi R$. The inflationary solution was discussed in[4] for the nonminimal coupling $[\xi f(\phi) + \mu\nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla^\alpha\phi]R$, but the equation of motion for the scalar field is fourth order. If the kinetic term is coupled to Einstein tensor by the coupling $\xi(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi)G^{\mu\nu}$, then the field equations contain no more than second derivatives,[5,6] because it is the special case of Horndeski theory of L_5 with $G_5(\phi, X) = \phi$ due to the divergence of $G^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu(\phi\nabla_\nu\phi)$. Even for massless scalar field without a potential, the nonminimally derivative coupling $\xi(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi)G^{\mu\nu}$ has de-Sitter solution.[5] If the canonical kinetic term X is absent, the nonminimally derivative coupling term behaves like a dark matter.[7,8] The introduction of the nonminimally derivative coupling to Einstein tensor causes the scalar field to evolve more slowly because of the gravitationally enhanced friction, so the new Higgs inflation was successfully implemented without either violating the unitarity bound or fine-tuning the coupling constant λ.[9–12] Furthermore, the challenge to slow-roll inflation with single field due to the Lyth bound was also alleviated.[13–16] The cosmological consequences of the theory with nonminimally derivative coupling were discussed extensively.[17–55]

We can also distinguish scalar–tensor theories of gravity from Einstein's general relativity by calculating the parametrized post-Newtonian (PPN) parameters and compare them with the solar system experiments. For the original Brans–Dicke theory, the PPN parameter $\gamma = (1 + \omega)/(2 + \omega)$. If the scalar field has a potential $V(\phi)$, then the PPN parameter γ is[56]

$$\gamma(r) = \frac{2\omega_0 + 3 - \exp(-m_\phi r)}{2\omega_0 + 3 + \exp(-m_\phi r)}, \tag{5}$$

where the mass is

$$m_\phi = 2\sqrt{\frac{8\pi G_N\phi_0}{2\omega_0 + 3}\frac{\partial^2 V(\phi)}{\partial\phi^2}\Big|_{\phi_0}}.$$

The PPN analysis for the nonminimally derivative coupling $(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi)R^{\mu\nu}$ with massless scalar field was performed in Ref. 17. In this paper, we follow Ref. 17 to calculate the PPN parameters for the scalar–tensor theory with the nonminimally derivative coupling $(\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi)G^{\mu\nu}$. In Sec. 2 we review the general equation of motion for the theory, and the PPN analysis was carried out in Sec. 3, we conclude the paper in Sec. 4.

2. Field Equations

The action for the scalar–tensor theory of gravity with nonminimally derivative coupling to Einstein tensor is

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{\frac{R}{16\pi G}-\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{\mu\nu}-\omega^2G_{\mu\nu}\right]\nabla^\mu\phi\nabla^\nu\phi-V(\phi)\right\}+S_m, \tag{6}$$

where the nonminimally coupling constant ω^2 has the dimension of length squared, $V(\phi)$ is the potential of the scalar field, and S_m is the action for the matter. Varying the action (6) with respect to the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$, we get the gravitational field equation

$$G_{\mu\nu}=8\pi G\left[T^{(m)}_{\mu\nu}+T^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu}-\omega^2\Theta_{\mu\nu}\right], \tag{7}$$

with $T^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu}$

$$T^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu}=\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left(\nabla\phi\right)^2-g_{\mu\nu}V(\phi), \tag{8}$$

and $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{\mu\nu}=&-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi R+2\nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla_{(\mu}\phi R^\alpha_{\nu)}\\&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla\phi\right)^2G_{\mu\nu}+\nabla^\alpha\phi\nabla^\beta\phi R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}\\&+\nabla_\mu\nabla^\alpha\phi\nabla_\nu\nabla_\alpha\phi-\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi\Box\phi\\&+g_{\mu\nu}\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^\alpha\nabla^\beta\phi\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\phi+\frac{1}{2}\left(\Box\phi\right)^2-\nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla_\beta\phi R^{\alpha\beta}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Then, we get

$$S^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu}=\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi+g_{\mu\nu}V(\phi), \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}S^{(\theta)}_{\mu\nu}=&-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi R+2\nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla_{(\mu}\phi R^\alpha_{\nu)}\\&-\frac{1}{2}\left(\nabla\phi\right)^2G_{\mu\nu}+\nabla^\alpha\phi\nabla^\beta\phi R_{\mu\alpha\nu\beta}\\&+\nabla_\mu\nabla^\alpha\phi\nabla_\nu\nabla_\alpha\phi-\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi\Box\phi\\&-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\nabla_\alpha\phi\nabla_\beta\phi R^{\alpha\beta},\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where $S_{\mu\nu}=T_{\mu\nu}-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T$. In terms of $S_{\mu\nu}$, we can express Einstein's field equation (7) as

$$\frac{R_{\mu\nu}}{8\pi G}=S^{(m)}_{\mu\nu}+S^{(\phi)}_{\mu\nu}-\omega^2S^{(\theta)}_{\mu\nu}. \tag{12}$$

Similarly, we derive the equation of motion for the scalar field as

$$\left[g^{\mu\nu}-\omega^2G^{\mu\nu}\right]\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi-V'(\phi)=0. \tag{13}$$

3. PPN Analysis

In this section, we will calculate the metric in the solar system to the first–order beyond the Newtonian approximation. The scalar field perturbation is written as $\delta\phi$. The metric is expanded around the flat background $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ to the first–order, $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$, and the perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ is at least first–order in $(v/c)^2$, where v is the characteristic velocity of bodies in the solar system. The order of the Post-Newtonian expansion is determined by $GM/r \sim (v/c)^2$, where $O(1) \sim (v/c)^2$ and $O(1.5) \sim (v/c)^3$. Our objective is to compute the metric $h_{\mu\nu}$ to the order of $(v/c)^4$, or $O(2)$. Following Ref. 17, we also make the following two assumptions: (C1) we assume that the background scalar field ϕ_0 is spatially homogeneous and evolves only on cosmological timescales which are much longer than the characteristic timescales of the solar system or laboratory, so the spatial derivatives and second and higher time derivatives of ϕ_0 are neglected, and we only consider $\dot{\phi}_0$ in the second–order of h_{00}; (C2) we assume the contribution to stress–energy in the solar system from the background scalar field is subdominant, so we can replace Ricci tensor in $S^{(\theta)}_{\mu\nu}$ by $8\pi G S^{(m)}_{\mu\nu}$.

To the zeroth–order, from Eq. (12) we get

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} V_0 = 0 \Rightarrow V_0 = 0, \tag{14}$$

where $V_0 = V(\phi_0)$. To the first–order, Eq. (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta R_{\mu\nu}}{8\pi G} &= \delta S^{(m)}_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\mu\delta\phi\partial_\nu\phi_0 + \partial_\mu\phi_0\partial_\nu\delta\phi + \eta_{\mu\nu}V_1\delta\phi + h_{\mu\nu}V_0 + \eta_{\mu\nu}\frac{V_2}{2}(\delta\phi)^2 \\ &\quad - \omega^2[-\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi_0\partial_\nu\phi_0\delta R + 2\dot{\phi_0}\nabla_{(\mu}\phi_0\delta R^0_{\nu)} + \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2_0\delta G_{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + \dot{\phi}^2_0\delta R_{\mu 0\nu 0} - \frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\dot{\phi}^2_0\delta R^{00}],\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where $V_1 = V'(\phi_0)$ and $V_2 = V''(\phi_0)$. To the zeroth–order, Eq. (13) gives

$$V_1 = 0. \tag{16}$$

The linear perturbed expansion of Eq. (13) is

$$\eta^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_\mu\partial_\nu\delta\phi - \delta\Gamma^0_{\mu\nu}\dot{\phi_0}\right) - V_2\delta\phi = 0, \tag{17}$$

so we get

$$\left(\nabla^2 - V_2\right)\delta\phi = \ddot{\delta\phi} + (\delta\Gamma^0_{ii} - \delta\Gamma^0_{00})\dot{\phi_0}. \tag{18}$$

Since the order of $\delta\Gamma^0_{\mu\nu}$ is at least $O(1.5)$, so

$$\delta\phi \sim O(1.5). \tag{19}$$

To solve Eq. (15), we need to calculate the perturbed Ricci tensor. In order to simplify the calculation, we use the gauge conditions

$$\partial_\mu h^\mu_i = \frac{1}{2}\partial_i h \quad \partial_\mu h^\mu_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_0 h_{00} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_0 h. \tag{20}$$

With the above gauge choice, the perturbed Ricci tensor is

$$\delta R_{00}(h) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{00}, \tag{21}$$

$$\delta R_{ij}(h) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{ij}}{\partial t^2}, \tag{22}$$

$$\delta R_{0i}(h) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{0i} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2 h_{kk}}{\partial x^i \partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{ik}}{\partial x^k \partial t}, \tag{23}$$

$$\delta R_{00}(h^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{00}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}h^{ij}\frac{\partial^2 h_{00}}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} - \frac{1}{4}(\nabla h_{00})^2 - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial h_{jj}}{\partial x^k} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial h_{jk}}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial h_{00}}{\partial x^k}, \tag{24}$$

where $h_{00}^{(2)} \sim O(2)$, $h_{00} \sim O(1)$ and $h_{0i} \sim O(1.5)$. Throughout this paper, we only label second and higher order terms like $h_{00}^{(2)}$. We know the stress–energy tensor of a perfect fluid is

$$\begin{aligned} T^{00} &= \rho(1 + \Pi + v^2 + 2U) + O(2.5), \\ T^{0j} &= \rho(1 + \Pi + v^2 + 2U + p/\rho)v^i + O(3), \\ T^{ij} &= \rho v^i v^j (1 + \Pi + v^2 + 2U + p/\rho) + p\delta^{ij}(1 - 2\gamma U) + O(3.5), \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

so we get $S_{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} S_{00} &= \frac{1}{2}\rho\left(1 + \Pi + 2v^2 + 2U - 2h_{00} + 3p/\rho\right) + O(2.5), \\ S_{ij} &= \frac{\rho}{2}\delta_{ij} + O(1.5), \\ S_{0i} &= -\rho v^j + O(2). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Now we are ready to compute the Newtonian limit of the h_{00} and h_{ij}. To do this we should collect all $O(1)$ terms in Eq. (15). From equation (19) we know $\delta\phi \sim O(1.5)$, so the 00 component of the right–hand side of equation (15) is $\rho/2$ and the ij components of the right–hand side of equation (15) is $\rho/2\delta_{ij}$, to the order of $O(1)$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{00} = -4\pi G\rho, \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{ij} = -4\pi G\rho\delta_{ij}, \tag{28}$$

$$\nabla^2 U = -4\pi G\rho. \tag{29}$$

Therefore, the solution is

$$h_{00} = 2U, \quad h_{ij} = 2U\delta_{ij}. \tag{30}$$

To the order of $O(1.5)$, the $0i$ components of equation (15) becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 h_{0i} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2 h_{kk}}{\partial x^i \partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2 h_{ik}}{\partial x^k \partial t} = -8\pi G\rho v_i, \tag{31}$$

Substituting the solution h_{ij} in Eq. (30) to the above equation, we get the solution

$$h_{0i} = -\frac{7}{2}V_i - \frac{1}{2}W_i, \tag{32}$$

where

$$V_j \equiv \int \frac{G\rho(\mathbf{x}',t)v'_j}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|}d^3x', \quad W_j \equiv \int \frac{G\rho(\mathbf{x}',t)\mathbf{v}'\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')(x-x')_j}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^3}d^3x'. \tag{33}$$

So far the solutions are the same as those in general relativity. Then we need to get the second order solution of h_{00}. From equations (15) and (19), we can get the $O(2)$ terms of the sources

$$\frac{R^{(2)}_{00}}{8\pi G} = S^{(m,2)}_{00} + 6\omega^2\pi G\rho\dot{\phi_0}^2, \tag{34}$$

By introducing the Post-Newtonian potential Φ_2,[57]

$$\nabla^2\Phi_2 = -4\pi G\rho U, \quad (\nabla U)^2 = \nabla^2(\frac{1}{2}U^2 - \Phi_2), \tag{35}$$

then equation (24) for the Ricci tensor becomes

$$R^{(2)}_{00} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\left(h^{(2)}_{00} + 2U^2 - 8\Phi_2\right). \tag{36}$$

From equation (26) we know that

$$S^{(m,2)}_{00} = \rho\left(\frac{\Pi}{2} - U + v^2 + \frac{3}{2}p/\rho\right). \tag{37}$$

Using the Post-Newtonian potentials[57]

$$\nabla^2\Phi_1 = -4\pi\rho G v^2, \quad \nabla^2\Phi_3 = -4\pi G\rho\Pi, \quad \nabla^2\Phi_4 = -4\pi G p, \tag{38}$$

we get

$$S^{(m,2)}_{00} = -\frac{1}{8\pi G}\nabla^2\left(\Phi_3 - 2\Phi_2 + 2\Phi_1 + 3\Phi_4\right). \tag{39}$$

Combining Eqs. (34), (36) and (39), we get

$$-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2\left(h^{(2)}_{00} + 2U^2 - 8\Phi_2\right) = -\nabla^2\left(\Phi_3 - 2\Phi_2 + 2\Phi_1 + 3\Phi_4\right) - 12\omega^2\pi G\nabla^2 U\dot{\phi_0}^2, \tag{40}$$

the solution is

$$h^{(2)}_{00} = 24\pi G\omega^2\dot{\phi_0}^2 U - 2U^2 + 2\Phi_3 + 4\Phi_2 + 4\Phi_1 + 6\Phi_4. \tag{41}$$

Using the effective Newtonian constant and the dimensionless variable X,

$$G_{eff} = G\left(1 + 12\omega^2\pi G\dot{\phi_0}^2\right), \quad X = 12\omega^2\pi G\dot{\phi_0}^2,$$

finally we get

$$h_{00} = 2U - \frac{2U^2}{(1-X)^2} + \frac{2\Phi_3}{1-X} + \frac{4\Phi_2}{(1-X)^2} + \frac{4\Phi_1}{1-X} + \frac{6\Phi_4}{1-X} + O(2.5), \tag{42}$$

$$h_{ij} = \frac{2U\delta_{ij}}{1-X} + O(1.5), \tag{43}$$

$$h_{0i} = -\frac{\frac{7}{2}V_i}{1-X} - \frac{\frac{1}{2}W_i}{1-X} + O(2), \tag{44}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} = -1 + 2U - 2(1+2X)U^2 + 4(1+X)\Phi_1 + 4(1+2X)\Phi_2 \\ +2(1+X)\Phi_3 + 6(1+X)\Phi_4, \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + 2U\delta_{ij}(1+X), \tag{46}$$

$$g_{0i} = -\frac{7}{2}V_i(1+X) - \frac{1}{2}W_i(1+X). \tag{47}$$

Comparing our results with the definitions of the PPN parameters,[57]

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} = &-1 + 2U - 2\beta U^2 - 2\xi\Phi_W + (2\gamma + 2 + \alpha_3 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)\Phi_1 \\ &+ 2(3\gamma - 2\beta + 1 + \zeta_2 + \xi)\Phi_2 + 2(1+\zeta_3)\Phi_3 \\ &+ 2(3\gamma + 3\zeta_4 - 2\xi)\Phi_4 - (\zeta_1 - 2\xi)A, \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

$$g_{0i} = -\frac{1}{2}(4\gamma + 3 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \zeta_1 - 2\xi)V_i - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_2 - \zeta_1 + 2\xi)W_i, \tag{49}$$

$$g_{ij} = (1 + 2\gamma U)\delta_{ij}. \tag{50}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = 1 + X, \quad \beta = 1 + 2X, \\ \xi = 0, \quad \alpha_1 = 4X, \quad \alpha_2 = X \quad \alpha_3 = 2X, \\ \zeta_1 = 0, \quad \zeta_2 = 5X, \quad \zeta_3 = X, \quad \zeta_4 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Note that in Einstein's general relativity $\gamma = 1$, $\beta = 1$ and all other PPN parameters are zero. Although the results are similar to that in Ref. 17, the nonminimal coupling $\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi R^{\mu\nu}$ considered there introduces extra degree of freedom, the coupling $\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi G^{\mu\nu}$ considered in this paper introduces no extra degree of freedom and the equation of motion is still the second–order differential equation. By using the experimental constraints on the PPN parameters shown in Table 1,[58] we get the current limit on X. The current stringent limit on X comes from the constraint on the PPN parameter $|\alpha_3| \leq 4 \times 10^{-20}$ at the 95% confidence level,[63,64] so $X \leq 2 \times 10^{-20}$ at the 95% confidence level.

Table 1. current limits on the PPN parameters.[58]

Parameter	Observational Limit	Constraint on X
$\gamma-1$	$(2.1\pm2.3)\times10^{-5}$ [59]	$(2.1\pm2.3)\times10^{-5}$
$\beta-1$	$(1.34\pm0.043)\times10^{-5}$ [60]	$(6.7\pm0.2)\times10^{-6}$
α_1	4×10^{-5} [61]	1×10^{-5}
α_2	$<1.6\times10^{-9}$ [62]	$<1.6\times10^{-9}$
α_3	$\leq4\times10^{-20}$ [63,64]	$\leq2\times10^{-20}$
ζ_2	$<4\times10^{-5}$	$<8\times10^{-6}$
ζ_3	$<1\times10^{-8}$	$<10^{-8}$

4. Conclusions

Horndeski theory is the most general scalar–tensor theory of gravity which introduces no extra degree of freedom because the equation of motion of the theory contains at most the second derivatives. We consider the special case of Horndeski theory in which the kinetic term of the scalar field is nonminimally coupled to Einstein tensor $G_{\mu\nu}$, and we calculated the PPN parameters in this theory. The results we obtained are similar to those in Ref. 17. However, due to the nonminimal coupling of the kinetic term of the scalar field to Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$, the theory considered in Ref. 17 introduces extra degree of freedom. Comparing with the results in Einstein's general relativity, the PPN parameters have small corrections from $\dot{\phi}_0$ which is only important on the cosmological timescale. Under the assumed conditions (C1) and (C2), the consistent solution requires that both V_0 and V_2 are zero, i.e., the scalar field should be massless.

By using the constraint on the PPN parameter $\alpha_3 \leq 4\times10^{-20}$ derived from the statistics of the period derivatives of 21 millisecond pulsars,[64] we find the strongest limit $X = 12\pi G\omega^2\dot{\phi}_0^2 \leq 2\times10^{-20}$, so the theory with nonminimally derivative coupling deviates from Einstein's general relativity in the order of 10^{-20}. The first time derivative of the orbital period of a selected sample of binary pulsars was also applied to test $f(R)$ theory of gravity.[65]

The ratio of the nonminimal kinetic term to the canonical one is $3\omega^2H_0^2$, so the nonminimal term becomes important only if $\omega > (\mathrm{meV})^{-1}$ and the nonminimal term is negligible if we choose $\omega \sim (10^{12}\mathrm{GeV})^{-1}$ from inflationary models.[13,14] Note that the contribution of the nonminimal coupling to the critical energy density of the Universe is X, so the effect of the nonminimal coupling is negligible if the scalar field is taken as dynamical dark energy. If we use the observational constraint $1+w \sim 0.2$ and $\Omega_m = 0.3$,[66,67] then we get the contribution of the canonical kinetic energy is $(1+w)(1-\Omega_m)/2 \sim 0.07$, so roughly we get $\omega^2H_0^2 \sim 10^{-19}$ and the high friction limit assumed in inflationary models[13,14] is guaranteed unless the equation of state parameter w is extremely close to be -1.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported in part by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11475065, and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University under Grant No. NCET-12-0205.

References

1. C. Brans and R. Dicke, *Phys. Rev.* **124** (1961) 925.
2. G. W. Horndeski, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **10** (1974) 363.
3. J. Callan, G. Curtis, S. R. Coleman and R. Jackiw, *Ann. Phys.* **59** (1970) 42.
4. L. Amendola, *Phys. Lett. B* **301** (1993) 175, arXiv:gr-qc/9302010 [gr-qc].
5. S. Capozziello and G. Lambiase, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **31** (1999) 1005, arXiv:gr-qc/9901051 [gr-qc].
6. S. V. Sushkov, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009) 103505, arXiv:0910.0980 [gr-qc].
7. C. Gao, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1006** (2010) 023, arXiv:1002.4035 [gr-qc].
8. A. Ghalee, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 083528, arXiv:1309.5161 [astro-ph.CO].
9. C. Germani and A. Kehagias, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 011302, arXiv:1003.2635 [hep-ph].
10. C. Germani and A. Kehagias, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1005** (2010) 019, arXiv:1003.4285 [astro-ph.CO].
11. C. Germani and Y. Watanabe, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1107** (2011) 031, arXiv:1106.0502 [astro-ph.CO].
12. C. Germani, Y. Watanabe and N. Wintergerst, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1412** (2014) 009, arXiv:1403.5766 [hep-ph].
13. N. Yang, Q. Gao and Y. Gong, Inflationary models with non-minimally derivative coupling (2015), arXiv:1504.05839 [gr-qc], arXiv: 1504.05839.
14. N. Yang, Q. Gao and Y. Gong, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30** (2015) 1545004.
15. Q. Gao, Y. Gong and T. Li, *Phys. Rev. D* **91** (2015) 063509, arXiv:1405.6451 [gr-qc].
16. Q. Gao and Y. Gong, *Phys. Lett. B* **734** (2014) 41, arXiv:1403.5716 [gr-qc].
17. S. F. Daniel and R. R. Caldwell, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **24** (2007) 5573, arXiv:0709.0009 [gr-qc].
18. S. Capozziello, G. Lambiase and H. Schmidt, *Ann. Phys.* **9** (2000) 39, arXiv:gr-qc/9906051 [gr-qc].
19. S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 083518, arXiv:1201.5926 [astro-ph.CO].
20. H. M. Sadjadi and P. Goodarzi, *Phys. Lett. B* **732** (2014) 278, arXiv:1309.2932 [astro-ph.CO].
21. E. N. Saridakis and S. V. Sushkov, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 083510, arXiv:1002.3478 [gr-qc].
22. S. Sushkov, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 123520, arXiv:1204.6372 [gr-qc].
23. M. A. Skugoreva, S. V. Sushkov and A. V. Toporensky, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 083539, arXiv:1306.5090 [gr-qc].
24. A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 083504, arXiv:1107.3917 [gr-qc].
25. H. M. Sadjadi, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 107301, arXiv:1012.5719 [gr-qc].
26. H. M. Sadjadi, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **46** (2014) 1817, arXiv:1312.1151 [gr-qc].
27. M. Minamitsuji, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 064017, arXiv:1312.3759 [gr-qc].
28. L. Granda, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1007** (2010) 006, arXiv:0911.3702 [hep-th].
29. L. Granda and W. Cardona, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1007** (2010) 021,

arXiv:1005.2716 [hep-th].
30. L. Granda, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **27** (2012) 1250018, arXiv:1108.6236 [hep-th].
31. C. de Rham and L. Heisenberg, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011) 043503, arXiv:1106.3312 [hep-th].
32. R. Jinno, K. Mukaida and K. Nakayama, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1401** (2014) 031, arXiv:1309.6756 [astro-ph.CO].
33. M. Sami, M. Shahalam, M. Skugoreva and A. Toporensky, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 103532, arXiv:1207.6691 [hep-th].
34. A. Anabalon, A. Cisterna and J. Oliva, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 084050, arXiv:1312.3597 [gr-qc].
35. M. Rinaldi, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012) 084048, arXiv:1208.0103 [gr-qc].
36. G. Koutsoumbas, K. Ntrekis and E. Papantonopoulos, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1308** (2013) 027, arXiv:1305.5741 [gr-qc].
37. A. Cisterna and C. Erices, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 084038, arXiv:1401.4479 [gr-qc].
38. Y. Huang, Q. Gao and Y. Gong, *Eur. J. Phys. C* **75** (2015) 143, arXiv:1412.8152 [gr-qc].
39. M. Bravo-Gaete and M. Hassaine, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 104028, arXiv:1312.7736 [hep-th].
40. M. Bravo-Gaete and M. Hassaine, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 024008, arXiv:1405.4935 [hep-th].
41. J.-P. Bruneton *et al.*, *Adv. Astron.* **2012** (2012) 430694, arXiv:1203.4446 [gr-qc].
42. K. Feng, T. Qiu and Y.-S. Piao, *Phys. Lett. B* **729** (2014) 99, arXiv:1307.7864 [hep-th].
43. K. Feng and T. Qiu, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 123508, arXiv:1409.2949 [hep-th].
44. L. Heisenberg, R. Kimura and K. Yamamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 103008, arXiv:1403.2049 [hep-th].
45. H. M. Sadjadi and P. Goodarzi, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 513, arXiv:1409.5119 [gr-qc].
46. Y. Huang, Y. Gong, D. Liang and Z. Yi, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 351, arXiv:1504.01271 [gr-qc].
47. Y. Huang and Y. Gong, *Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron.* **59** (2016) 640402, arXiv:1601.01035 [gr-qc].
48. A. Cisterna, T. Delsate and M. Rinaldi, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 044050, arXiv:1504.05189 [gr-qc].
49. I. Dalianis and F. Farakos, *Phys. Lett. B* **736** (2014) 299, arXiv:1403.3053 [hep-th].
50. I. Dalianis and F. Farakos, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 083512, arXiv:1405.7684 [hep-th].
51. I. Dalianis and F. Farakos, *PoS* **CORFU2014** (2015) 098, arXiv:1504.06875 [hep-th].
52. Y. Ema, R. Jinno, K. Mukaida and K. Nakayama, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1510** (2015) 020, arXiv:1504.07119 [gr-qc].
53. S. Aoki and Y. Yamada, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1507** (2015) 020, arXiv:1504.07023 [hep-th].
54. T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo, J. P. Mimoso and D. Pavón, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 386, arXiv:1508.02511 [gr-qc].
55. J. Matsumoto and S. V. Sushkov, *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.* **1511** (2015) 047, arXiv:1510.03264 [gr-qc].
56. M. Hohmann, L. Jarv, P. Kuusk and E. Randla, *Phys. Rev. D* **88** (2013) 084054, arXiv:1309.0031 [gr-qc]; Erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 069901.
57. C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics* (Cambridge University

Press, Cambridge, 1993).
58. C. M. Will, *Living Rev. Rel.* **17** (2014) 4.
59. B. Bertotti, L. Iess and P. Tortora, *Nature* **425** (2003) 374.
60. A. Verma, A. Fienga, J. Laskar, H. Manche and M. Gastineau, *Astron. Astrophys.* **561** (2014) A115, arXiv:1306.5569 [astro-ph.EP].
61. L. Shao and N. Wex, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** (2012) 215018, arXiv:1209.4503 [gr-qc].
62. L. Shao, R. N. Caballero, M. Kramer, N. Wex, D. J. Champion and A. Jessner, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **30** (2013) 165019, arXiv:1307.2552 [gr-qc].
63. J. F. Bell and T. Damour, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **13** (1996) 3121, arXiv:gr-qc/9606062 [gr-qc].
64. I. H. Stairs *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **632** (2005) 1060, arXiv:astro-ph/0506188 [astro-ph].
65. M. De Laurentis and I. De Martino, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **431** (2014) 741, arXiv:1302.0220 [gr-qc].
66. Q. Gao and Y. Gong, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **31** (2014) 105007, arXiv:1308.5627 [astro-ph.CO].
67. Y. Gong and Q. Gao, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2729, arXiv:1301.1224 [astro-ph.CO].